

# Waveforms for 5G Brief Introduction

**Long Zhang**

Hardware Engineer  
Tieto Oyj, ZSR Product Development Services /  
long.a.zhang@tieto.com

**tieto**

# Table of contents

- Motivation and Background
- Major Multicarrier Modulation Candidates : FBMC, GFDM, BFDM, UFMC and FTN
- Waveform Choice and Comparison



天地之间，其犹橐籥乎？  
虚而不屈，动而俞出。  
——老子《道德经》

**tieto**

# Motivation and Background

- **Very large data-rate wireless connectivity**, requires a modulation scheme with large spectral and energy efficiency
- **Internet of Things (IoT)** , requiring a modulation scheme robust to time synchronization errors and performing well for short communications
- **Tactile Internet**, reliable and have small latency
- **Wireless Regional Area Networks (WRAN)** , be able to efficiently exploit the available fragmented and heterogeneous spectrum.

# 5G Standard Development



- R-13
  - full dimension MIMO (FD-MIMO)
  - licensed assisted access (LAA, only downlink) ; carrier aggregation with up to 32 component carriers
  - further cost reductions for MTC(NB-IoT)
- R-14
  - in case of FD-MIMO, antenna ports up to 32
  - LAA support uplink
  - expected to introduce latency reduction technologies, e.g. grantless procedure; shorten the TTI length
  - vehicle-related services (V2X, e.g. vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) , vehicle-to-infra (V2I) , vehicle-to-pedestrian (V2P) ) within the scope of the study; latency reduction, multi-cell multicast/broadcast meet industry and regulatory requirements

# Chief Advantages of OFDM and OFDMA

- the ease of implementation of both transmitter and receiver thanks to the use of FFT and IFFT
- the ability to counteract multi-path distortion
- the orthogonality of subcarriers, which eliminates intercell interference
- their easy coupling with adaptive modulation techniques
- the ease of integration with multi-antenna hardware, both at the transmitter and receiver


# OFDM

- $K$  QAM symbols  $(s(1), s(2), \dots, s(K))$  is mapped onto the available  $K$  subcarriers

$$Z(m) = H(m)s(m) + W(m)$$


- Soft estimate of the symbol  $s(m)$ , to be sent to the data decoding block

$$\hat{s}(m) = Z(m)/H(m)$$



$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{N-1} \\ y_{N-2} \\ \vdots \\ y_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} h_0 & h_1 & \dots & h_\mu & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & h_0 & \dots & h_{\mu-1} & h_\mu & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & h_0 & \dots & h_{\mu-1} & h_\mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{N-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_0 \\ x_{-1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{-\mu} \end{bmatrix}$$

# SC-FDMA





- $U$  denote the number of subcarriers (out of the available  $K$ ) that have been assigned to the  $L$ th user in the current resource slot, a block of  $U$  QAM symbols is FFTed and mapped onto the assigned subcarriers
- “Zero padding” block forms a vector of  $K$  elements
- According to the OFDMA, active users must transmit synchronously so that the base station receiver is able to simultaneously collect the data from the users that are using the  $K$  available subcarriers
- U-pt smaller PAPR than pure OFDMA K-pt (oversampled)



# Cyclic Prefix

- Eliminating the ISI from the previous symbol
- Converting the linear convolution with a channel filter into a circular convolution, which allows for simple frequency-domain channel estimation and equalization(motivation)
- $X=[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $h=[h_1, \dots, h_L]$ , output of convolution is  $n+L-1$ ,  $L-1$  overlapped with its following OFDM symbol and causes ISI;  
 $X'=[x_{n-lcp+1}, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $y=[y_{lcp+1}, \dots, y_{lcp+n}]$  equal to cyclic convolution
- The DFT of the output signal  $y=x \otimes h$  can be obtained by  $Y[s] = H[s]X[s]$ , If  $P[s]$  is known transmit data at the receiver(pilot), the channel response and the recovery of the transmit data are  $H_p[s]=Y_p[s]/P[s]$  and  $X[s]=Y[s]/H_p[s]$ .




	4	3	2	1	4	3	2	1
			5	2			5	2
	8	6	4	2	8	6	4	2
<b>20</b>	15	10	5		15	10	5	<b>20</b>
20	23	16	20	2	23	16	20	22


Fourier coefficients of  $(\sum c_k e^{ikx})(\sum d_k e^{ikx})$

# Drawbacks of OFDM


- High out-of-band (OOB) emission: rectangular pulses in the time domain leads to a slowly decaying in the frequency domain, this makes OFDM unsuited for use in fragmented spectrum scenarios; in 4G OOB emission controlled by inserting null tones at the spectrum edges or filtering the whole OFDM signal with a selective filter(filtered-OFDM, longer CP), both lead to a loss in spectral efficiency, high inter-carrier interference (ICI) and severe adjacent-channel interference (ACI)
- Cyclic prefix (CP) degrades spectral efficiency
- The need for strict frequency and time synchronization among blocks and subcarriers(maintain orthogonality) does not match well with the IoT scenario, wherein many devices have to access the channel with short data frames. Also a key issue in the uplink of a cellular network wherein different mobile terminals transmit separately, and in the downlink when base station coordination is used

# Filter-bank Multicarrier (FBMC)





FFT filter frequency response and coefficients in the frequency domain




Prototype filter frequency coefficients and frequency response for K=4

$$H(f) = \sum_{k=-(K-1)}^{K-1} H_k \frac{\sin(\pi(f - \frac{k}{MK})MK)}{MK \sin(\pi(f - \frac{k}{MK}))}$$


$$h(t) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} H_k \cos(2\pi \frac{kt}{KT})$$

Frequency-domain prototype filter coefficients

K	$H_0$	$H_1$	$H_2$	$H_3$
2	1	$\sqrt{2}/2$	-	-
3	1	0.911438	0.411438	-
4	1	0.971960	$\sqrt{2}/2$	0.235147




Section of a filter bank based on the prototype with K=4



Weighted frequency spreading and extended iFFT

- Prototype filters are characterized by the **overlapping factor**  $K$ , which is the ratio of the filter impulse response duration to the multicarrier symbol period  $T$ . The factor  $K$  is also the number of multicarrier symbols which overlap in the time domain. Generally,  $K$  is an integer number and, in the frequency domain, it is the number of frequency coefficients which are introduced between the FFT filter coefficients.



PPN-iFFT implementation of the transmitter filter bank

$$H(f) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} h_i e^{-j2\pi i f} \quad H(Z) = \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} H_p(Z^M) Z^{-p}$$

$$H(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} h_i Z^{-i} \quad H_p(Z^M) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} h_{kM+p} Z^{-kM}$$

$$L = K M$$


$$\begin{bmatrix} B_0(Z) \\ B_1(Z) \\ \vdots \\ B_{M-1}(Z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & W^{-1} & \dots & W^{-M+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & W^{-M+1} & \dots & W^{-(M-1)^2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} H_0(Z^M) \\ Z^{-1} H_1(Z^M) \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-(M-1)} H_{M-1}(Z^M) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_1(f) = H\left(f - \frac{1}{M}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} h_i e^{-j2\pi i (f-1/M)}$$


$$B_1(Z) = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} h_i e^{j2\pi i / M} Z^{-i}$$

$$B_1(Z) = \sum_{p=0}^{M-1} e^{j\frac{2\pi}{M} p} Z^{-p} H_p(Z^M)$$


- Polyphase network-FFT (PPN-FFT) implementation proposed to reduce the computational complexity
- FBMC in massive MIMO has the impact of reducing (i) complexity; (ii) sensitivity to carrier frequency offset (CFO); (iii) (PAPR); (iv) system latency; and (v) increasing bandwidth efficiency.




OFDM and FBMC-SMT filter response for K=4.



Structure of the transmitted burst with FBMC




Time-frequency efficiency of FBMC, CP-OFDM and UFM.  $M$  is the length of the respective burst.



Filter response for various values of K.



## Generalized Frequency Division Multiplexing(GFDM)



- A pure multicarrier modulation with low OOB emissions, suit for fragmented spectrum
- Ues of CP, retains OFDM advantages: robust to multipath channels, ease of equalization, efficiently implemented



- CP > impulse responses of (shaping filter + channel + receive filter)
- Tail-biting technique is an efficient strategy, the transmit filter impulse response length is not taken into account
- One possible receiver architecture is as below, equalized data signal is obtained from IFFT



## Bi-orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing(BFDM)


$$\left\langle g(t), g(t - \ell T) e^{j2\pi n F(t - \ell T)} \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ell, n \neq 0$$

- Prototype filter  $g(t)$  of classical OFDM should be orthogonal to a suitable time-frequency shifted version of itself
- Implies that the same filter is used at the transmitter and receiver

$$\left\langle g(t), \gamma(t - \ell T) e^{j2\pi n F(t - \ell T)} \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \ell, n \neq 0$$

- perfect demodulation (in the noiseless case) can also be obtained when the receiver employs a different receive filter  $\gamma(t)$
- Provide lower ICI and ISI
- Introduce additional degrees of freedom

# Universal Filtered Multicarrier(UFMC)




$$x_0 = \cosh\left(\frac{1}{N-1} \cosh^{-1}\left(10^{-\frac{A}{20}}\right)\right)$$

$$T_n(x) = \begin{cases} \cos(n \cos^{-1}(x)) & |x| \leq 1 \\ \cosh(n \cosh^{-1}(x)) & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ 10^{-\frac{A}{20}} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} T_{N-1}\left(x_0 \cos\left(\frac{k\pi}{N}\right)\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi nk}{N}\right) \right]$$


- Subcarriers are filtered in groups
- Dolph-Chebyshev(DC) pulse has been recommended for the UFMC modulation

- The DC pulse minimizes the main lobe width for a given sidelobe attenuation; RRC pulse: minimize ISI; the PHYDYAS pulse: discrete-time pulse for FBMC
- Alternatives to the rectangular pulse adopted: compromise between their side lobe levels in the frequency domain, and their extension in the time-domain.




# Faster-than-Nyquist(FTN) Signaling

- Finite-order constellations are used, it is possible give up the orthogonality condition
- FTN signaling is a linear modulation technique that reduces the time spacing between two adjacent pulses(the symbol time) to well below that ensuring the Nyquist condition,thus introducing controlled ISI



(a)





(b)

$$x(t) = \sqrt{E_s} \sum_n \sum_\ell x_n^{(\ell)} p(t - n\delta_t T) e^{j2\pi\ell\delta_t Ft}$$


- The turbo method is more or less identified with FTN, close to Shannon limit

# Single-carrier Schemes

- mmWaves channel models based on measurements, pass loss proportion huge, have oxygen and rain absorption



- Propagation attenuation of mmWaves make them a viable technology only for small-cell, dense networks, where few users will be associated to any given base station.
- mmWaves operated together with massive MIMO to overcome propagation attenuation. This makes digital beamforming unfeasible, since the energy required for DA and AD conversion would be huge. Thus, each user will have an own radio-frequency beamform, which which requires users to be separated in time rather than in frequency.



- The null cyclic preix is part of the transmit symbol, it possible to adapt the length of the prefix of each user without disrupting the frame timing(slots, size, length), because the length of each user's transmit symbol is always kept constant to  $N$ .
- The NCP-SC has a much lower PAPR and much lower OOB emissios than OFDM
- The presence of time intervals in which no useful data are present makes it easier to estimate the interference-plus-noise power at the receiver
- A more IFFT operation is required for Null CP Single Carrier

# What is the “best”?

- It depends, each scheme has its pros and cons
- E.g. :
  - UFMC, no CP, low sidelobe, overcome ICI;
  - FBMC, no CP, low sidelobe but long shaping filter, low efficiency in IoT;
  - GFDM, great flexibility; FTN, max throughput
- PHY-layer functions will be partly virtualized and implemented in a data-center.
- In future the modulation scheme itself might be changed according to the operating scenario in a SDN framework.



我们的全部通信无非幻影，各人只是在给自己写信。  
——纪德《窄门》

**tieto**

**Long Zhang**

Hardware Engineer  
Tieto Oyj, ZSR Product Development Services /  
long.a.zhang@tieto.com